



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/842,674	04/27/2001	Chiho Kawakami	018775-825	9034
7590 07/15/2004			EXAMINER	
Platon N. Mandros			AZARIAN, SEYED H	
BURNS, DOANE, SWECKER & MATHIS, L.L.P.			ADTIBUT	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 1404			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Alexandria, VA 22313-1404			2625	6
			DATE MAILED: 07/15/2004	_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annitorion No	Applicant(s)
	Application No.	
Office Action Summary	09/842,674	KAWAKAMI ET AL. Art Unit
omoc notion cummary	Examiner Sound Agarian	2625
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Seyed Azarian oears on the cover sheet w	
Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a ly within the statutory minimum of the will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC a, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. INTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) ⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 21 A 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ⊠ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under B 	s action is non-final. nce except for formal ma	•
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) 12-22 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers	•	
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on 27 April 2001 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objo drawing(s) be held in abeya tion is required if the drawin	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. Is have been received in Irity documents have bee u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4.	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

* Application/Control Number: 09/842,674

Art Unit: 2625

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

2. Claims 1-11, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tsuji et al (U.S. patent 5,796,869) in view of Sakai et al (U.S. patent 5,784,180).

Regarding claim 1, Tsuji discloses an image processor for detecting a circular pattern in an image comprising (column 5, lines 8-21, detecting circular image);

a binarization unit, which binarizes input image data to provide bi-level image data (column 6, lines 42-58 binarizing color signal to the image output);

Application/Control Number: 09/842,674

Art Unit: 2625

a counter, which counts pixels having a predetermined value in a block of a polygon having n vertices in the bi-level image data (column 13, lines 19-40counting number of pixels within the circle);

a controller which decides, based on a number of the pixels having the predetermined value counted by said counter, whether the circular pattern is detected in the image or not (column 10, lines 3-29, determining, if the condition is satisfied, also column 2, lines 38-57, detecting specific color on an image, where the number of pixels a given area defined by a set of lines detection).

However Tsuji fails to disclose, "wherein n denotes a natural number equal to or larger than eight". On the other hand Sakai in the same field of scanning teaches a scanner which serves as a laser output unit for converting an image signal from color reader into an optical signal having a polygonal shape or octagon column 19, lines 40-49).

Therefore it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention was made, to modify Tsuji invention according to the teaching of Sakai because it provides an image memory capable of storing plurality of pieces of image information that are different from each other or may have different shape, which can easily be implements in an image processor such as scanning device.

Regarding claims 4 and 5, Tsuji discloses the image processor according to claim 1, wherein the predetermined value in the bi-level image data is one and zero (column 6, lines 42-58, refer to binarization or bi-level).

Regarding claims 2, 3 and 6-11, it recites similar limitation as claims 1, 4 and 5, are similarly analyzed.

Art Unit: 2625

Allowable claims

3. Claims 12-22 are allowable.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance.

The claim 12, is allowable due to controller decides a width of scan until which said detector detects a rim of the specified pattern, in a direction in correspondence to the moving direction of the detection window, and changes a moving distance of the detection window based on the decided width of scan.

The closest prior art of record (Tsuji) teaches an image processing system, which reads, in pixel units, an image on an original document using a scanner. But does not teach or suggest moving direction of the detection window, and changes a moving distance of the detection window based on the decided width of scan.

These key features in combination with other features of the claimed invention are neither taught nor suggested by the art of record.

Claims 15 and 19-22 recites substantial very similar limitations as claim 12 above and is allowed for the same reason.

Other prior art cited

- 4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- U.S. patent (5,515,451) to Tsuji et al is cited for image processing system for selectively reproducing documents.
 - U.S. patent (4,855,772) to Hashimoto et al is cited for electronically controlled camera.
 - U.S. patent (4,198,656) to Mathisen is cited for video sequencer-processor.

Application/Control Number: 09/842,674

Art Unit: 2625

U.S. patent (6,695,209) to L is cited for triggerless optical reader with signal enhancement features.

U.S. patent (6,643,400) to Murakawa et al is cited for image processing apparatus and method for recognizing specific patten and recording medium having image-processing program recorded thereon.

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Seyed Azarian whose telephone number is (703) 306-5907. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 6:00 a.m. to 7:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bhavesh Mehta, can be reached at (703) 308-5246. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published application may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

* Application/Control Number: 09/842,674

Art Unit: 2625

Page 6

BHAVESH M. MEHTA SUPERVISORY PATENT FXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Seyed Azarian Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2625 July 1, 2004